Machine Learning for Robotics
Intelligent Systems Series
Lecture 7

Georg Martius

MPI for Intelligent Systems, Tübingen, Germany

June 12, 2017

Markov Decision Processes

Markov Process

A Markov process is a memoryless random process, i.e. a sequence of random states $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ with the Markov property.

Markov Process

A Markov process is a memoryless random process, i.e. a sequence of random states $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ with the Markov property.

Reminder: Markov property

A state S_t is Markov if and only if

$$P(S_{t+1} \mid S_t) = P(S_{t+1} \mid S_1, \ldots, S_t)$$

A Markov process is a memoryless random process, i.e. a sequence of random states $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ with the Markov property.

Reminder: Markov property

A state S_t is Markov if and only if

$$P(S_{t+1} \mid S_t) = P(S_{t+1} \mid S_1, \ldots, S_t)$$

Definition (Markov Process/ Markov Chain)

A *Markov Process* (or *Markov Chain*) is a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P})$

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a (finite) set of states
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a state transition 0 probability matrix,

$$P_{ss'} = P(S_{t+1} = s' \mid S_t = s)$$

Example: Student Markov Chain



Example: Student Markov Chain Episodes



Sample episodes for starting from S_1 =C1

$$S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_T$$

- C1 C2 C3 Pass Sleep

Example: Student Markov Chain Episodes



Sample episodes for starting from S_1 =C1

$$S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_T$$

- C1 C2 C3 Pass Sleep
- C1 FB FB C1 C2 Sleep

Example: Student Markov Chain Episodes



Sample episodes for starting from S_1 =C1

$$S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_T$$

- C1 C2 C3 Pass Sleep
- C1 FB FB C1 C2 Sleep
- C1 C2 C3 Pub C2 C3 Pass Sleep

Example: Student Markov Chain Episodes



Sample episodes for starting from S_1 =C1

$$S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_T$$

- C1 C2 C3 Pass Sleep
- C1 FB FB C1 C2 Sleep
- C1 C2 C3 Pub C2 C3 Pass Sleep
- C1 FB FB C1 C2 C3 Pub C1 FB FB FB C1 C2 C3 Pub C2 Sleep

Example: Student Markov Chain Transition Matrix



$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{array}{c} \\ C1 \\ C2 \\ C3 \\ Pass \\ Pub \\ FB \\ Sleep \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccccc} C1 & C2 & C3 & Pass & Pub & FB & Sleep \end{array} \\ \begin{bmatrix} & 0.5 & & & & 0.5 & \\ & & 0.8 & & & & 0.2 \\ & & & 0.6 & 0.4 & & \\ & & & & & & 1.0 \\ 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.4 & & & & \\ 0.1 & & & & & 0.9 & \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

A Markov reward process is a Markov chain with values.

Definition (MRP)

A *Markov Reward Process* is a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma)$

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of states
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a state transition probability matrix,

$$P(S_{t+1} \mid S_t) = P(S_{t+1} \mid S_1, \ldots, S_t)$$

A Markov reward process is a Markov chain with values.

Definition (MRP)

A *Markov Reward Process* is a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma)$

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of states
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a state transition probability matrix,

$$P(S_{t+1} \mid S_t) = P(S_{t+1} \mid S_1, \ldots, S_t)$$

- $\mathcal{R}$ is a reward function, $\mathcal{R}_s = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} | S_t = s]$

A Markov reward process is a Markov chain with values.

Definition (MRP)

A *Markov Reward Process* is a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma)$

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of states
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a state transition probability matrix,

$$P(S_{t+1} \mid S_t) = P(S_{t+1} \mid S_1, \ldots, S_t)$$

- $\mathcal{R}$ is a reward function, $\mathcal{R}_s = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} | S_t = s]$
- γ is a discount factor, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$

Note that the reward can be stochastic ($\mathcal{R}_s$ is in expectation)

Example: Student MRP



Definition

The *return* G_t is the total discounted reward from time-step t.

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$$

- The discount $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ devaluates future rewards:
  A reward R after $k+1$ time-steps is counted as $\gamma^k R$.

Definition

The *return* G_t is the total discounted reward from time-step t.

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$$

- The discount $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ devaluates future rewards:
  A reward R after $k+1$ time-steps is counted as $\gamma^k R$.
- Extreme cases:

Return

Definition

The *return* G_t is the total discounted reward from time-step t.

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$$

- The discount $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ devaluates future rewards:
  A reward R after $k+1$ time-steps is counted as $\gamma^k R$.
- Extreme cases:
  - γ close to 0 leads to immidate reward maximization only

Definition

The *return* G_t is the total discounted reward from time-step t.

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$$

- The discount $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ devaluates future rewards:
  A reward R after $k+1$ time-steps is counted as $\gamma^k R$.
- Extreme cases:
  - γ close to 0 leads to immidate reward maximization only
  - γ close to 1 leads to far-sighted evaluation

Discussion on discounting

Why is discouting often used?

Discussion on discounting

Why is discouting often used?

- Mathematically convenient to discount rewards (keeps returns finite)

Discussion on discounting

Why is discouting often used?

- Mathematically convenient to discount rewards (keeps returns finite)
- A way to model the uncertainty about the future (since the model may not be exact)

Discussion on discounting

Why is discouting often used?

- Mathematically convenient to discount rewards (keeps returns finite)
- A way to model the uncertainty about the future (since the model may not be exact)
- Animal/human behaviour shows preference for immediate rewards

Discussion on discounting

Why is discouting often used?

- Mathematically convenient to discount rewards (keeps returns finite)
- A way to model the uncertainty about the future (since the model may not be exact)
- Animal/human behaviour shows preference for immediate rewards

Discussion on discounting

Why is discouting often used?

- Mathematically convenient to discount rewards (keeps returns finite)
- A way to model the uncertainty about the future (since the model may not be exact)
- Animal/human behaviour shows preference for immediate rewards

In some cases *undiscounted* Markov reward processes (i.e. $\gamma = 1$), are considered, e.g. if all sequences terminate.

Value Function

The value function describes the value of a state (in the stationary state)

Definition

The state *value function* $v(s)$ of an MRP is the exected return starting from state s

$$v(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t | S_t = s]$$

Example: Value Function for Student MRP



from David Silver

Example: Value Function for Student MRP



from David Silver

Example: Value Function for Student MRP



from David Silver

Bellman Equation (MRP) I

Idea: Make value computation recursive by tearing apart contributions from:

- immediate reward
- and from discounted future rewards

Bellman Equation (MRP) I

Idea: Make value computation recursive by tearing apart contributions from:

- immediate reward
- and from discounted future rewards

$$v(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t \mid S_t = s]$$

Bellman Equation (MRP) I

Idea: Make value computation recursive by tearing apart contributions from:

- immediate reward
- and from discounted future rewards

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(s) &= \mathbb{E}[G_t \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \cdots \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s]
\end{aligned}
$$

Bellman Equation (MRP) I

Idea: Make value computation recursive by tearing apart contributions from:

- immediate reward
- and from discounted future rewards

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(s) &= \mathbb{E}[G_t \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \cdots \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v(S_{t+1}) \mid S_t = s]
\end{aligned}
$$

Bellman Equation (MRP) I

Idea: Make value computation recursive by tearing apart contributions from:

- immediate reward
- and from discounted future rewards

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(s) &= \mathbb{E}[G_t \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \cdots \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v(S_{t+1}) \mid S_t = s]
\end{aligned}
$$

Mh... need Expectation over S_{t+1}

Bellman Equation (MRP) I

Idea: Make value computation recursive by tearing apart contributions from:

- immediate reward
- and from discounted future rewards

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(s) &= \mathbb{E}[G_t \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \cdots \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} \mid S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v(S_{t+1}) \mid S_t = s]
\end{aligned}
$$

Mh... need Expectation over S_{t+1}
Use transition matrix to get probabilities of succeeding state:

$$
v(s) = \mathcal{R}_s + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}_{ss'} v(s')
$$

Example: Bellman Equation for Student MRP



from David Silver

Bellman Equation (MRP) II

Bellman equations in matrix form:

$$v = \mathcal{R} + \gamma \mathcal{P} v$$

where $v \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are vectors

The Bellman equation can be solved directly:

$$v = (\mathbb{I} - \gamma \mathcal{P})^{-1} \mathcal{R}$$

- computational complexity is $O(|S|^3)$

Markov Decision Process

A Markov reward process has no agent, there is no influence on the system.

Markov Decision Process

A Markov reward process has no agent, there is no influence on the system. And MDR with an active agent forms a Markov Decision Process.

- Agent takes decision by executing *actions*
- State is Markovian

A Markov reward process has no agent, there is no influence on the system. And MDR with an active agent forms a Markov Decision Process.

- Agent takes decision by executing *actions*
- State is Markovian

Definition (MDP)

A *Markov Decision Process* is a tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma)$

- $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of states
- $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set of actions
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a state transition probability matrix,

$$\mathcal{P}^a_{ss'} = P(S_{t+1} \mid S_t, A_t = a)$$

- $\mathcal{R}$ is a reward function, $\mathcal{R}^a_s = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1}|S_t = s, A_t = a]$
- γ is a discount factor, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$

Example: Student MDP



from David Silver

How to model decision taking?

The agent has a action function called policy.

How to model decision taking?

The agent has a action function called policy.

Definition

A *policy* π is a distribution over actions given states,

$$\pi(a|s) = P(A_t = a \mid S_t = s)$$

How to model decision taking?

The agent has a action function called policy.

Definition

A *policy* π is a distribution over actions given states,

$$\pi(a|s) = P(A_t = a \mid S_t = s)$$

- Since it is a Markov process the policy only depends on the current state
- Implication: policies are stationary (independent of time)

How to model decision taking?

The agent has a action function called policy.

Definition

A *policy* π is a distribution over actions given states,

$$\pi(a|s) = P(A_t = a \mid S_t = s)$$

- Since it is a Markov process the policy only depends on the current state
- Implication: policies are stationary (independent of time)

An MDP with a given policy turns into a MRP:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) \mathcal{P}^{a}_{ss'}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\pi}_{s} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) \mathcal{R}^{a}_{s}$$

Modelling expected returns in MDP

How good is each state when we follow the policy pi?

Modelling expected returns in MDP

How good is each state when we follow the policy pi?

Definition

The *state-value* function $v_\pi(s)$ of an MDP is the expected return when starting from state s and following policy π.

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t | S_t = s]$$

Modelling expected returns in MDP

How good is each state when we follow the policy pi?

Definition

The *state-value* function $v_\pi(s)$ of an MDP is the expected return when starting from state s and following policy π.

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t | S_t = s]$$

Should we change the policy?
How much does choosing a different action change the value?

Modelling expected returns in MDP

How good is each state when we follow the policy pi?

Definition

The *state-value* function $v_\pi(s)$ of an MDP is the expected return when starting from state s and following policy π.

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t|S_t = s]$$

Should we change the policy?
How much does choosing a different action change the value?

Definition

The *action-value* function $q_\pi(s, a)$ of an MDP is the expected return when starting from state s, taking action a, and then following policy π.

$$q_\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E}[G_t|S_t = s, A_t = a]$$

Example: State-Value function for Student MDP



from David Silver

Bellman Expectation Equation

Recall: Bellman Equation: decompose expected reward into immediate reward plus discounted value of successor state,

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_\pi(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s]$$

Bellman Expectation Equation

Recall: Bellman Equation: decompose expected reward into immediate reward plus discounted value of successor state,

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_\pi(S_{t+1})|S_t = s]$$

The action-value function can be similarly decomposed,

$$q_\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma q_\pi(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})|S_t = s, A_t = a]$$

Bellman Equation: joined update of v_π and q_π

Value function can be derived from q_π:

Bellman Equation: joined update of v_π and q_π

Value function can be derived from q_π:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) q_\pi(s, a)$$

Bellman Equation: joined update of v_π and q_π

Value function can be derived from q_π:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) q_\pi(s,a)$$

. . . and q can be computed from transition model

$$q_\pi(s,a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s')$$

Bellman Equation: joined update of v_π and q_π

Value function can be derived from q_π:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) q_\pi(s,a)$$

. . . and q can be computed from transition model

$$q_\pi(s,a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s')$$

Substituting q in v:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) \left(\mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s') \right)$$

Bellman Equation: joined update of v_π and q_π

Value function can be derived from q_π:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) q_\pi(s,a)$$

. . . and q can be computed from transition model

$$q_\pi(s,a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s')$$

Substituting q in v:

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) \left(\mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s') \right)$$

Substituting v in q:

$$q_\pi(s,a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a'|s') q_\pi(s',a')$$

Example: Bellman update for v in Student MDP



from David Silver

$$\pi(a|s) = 0.5$$

Explicit solution for v_π

Since a policy induces a MRP v_π can be diretly computed (as before)

$$v = (\mathbb{I} - \gamma \mathcal{P}^\pi)^{-1} \mathcal{R}^\pi$$

Explicit solution for v_π

Since a policy induces a MRP v_π can be diretly computed (as before)

$$v = (\mathbb{I} - \gamma \mathcal{P}^\pi)^{-1} \mathcal{R}^\pi$$

But do we want v_π?

Explicit solution for v_π

Since a policy induces a MRP v_π can be diretly computed (as before)

$$v = (\mathbb{I} - \gamma \mathcal{P}^\pi)^{-1} \mathcal{R}^\pi$$

But do we want v_π?

We want to find the optimal policy and its value function!

Optimal Value Function

Definition

The *optimal state-value function* $v_*(s)$ is the maximum value function over all policies

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_\pi \, v_\pi(s)$$

Optimal Value Function

Definition

The *optimal state-value function* $v_*(s)$ is the maximum value function over all policies

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_\pi \, v_\pi(s)$$

Definition

The *optimal action-value function* $q_*(s, a)$ is the maximum action-value function over all policies

$$q_*(s, a) = \mathbf{max}_\pi \, q_\pi(s, a)$$

What does it mean?

Optimal Value Function

Definition

The *optimal state-value function* $v_*(s)$ is the maximum value function over all policies

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_\pi \, v_\pi(s)$$

Definition

The *optimal action-value function* $q_*(s, a)$ is the maximum action-value function over all policies

$$q_*(s, a) = \mathbf{max}_\pi \, q_\pi(s, a)$$

What does it mean?

- v_* specifies the best possible performance in an MDP
- Knowing v_* solves the MDP (how? we will see. . .)

Example: Optimal Value Function v_* in Student MDP



from David Silver

Example: Optimal State Function q_* in Student MDP



from David Silver

Optimal Policy

Actually solving the MDP means we have also the optimal policy.

Optimal Policy

Actually solving the MDP means we have also the optimal policy.
Define a partial ordering over policies

$$\pi \geq \pi' \text{ if } v_\pi(s) \geq v_{\pi'}(s), \forall s$$

Theorem

For any Markov Decision Process

- *There exists an optimal policy π_* that is better than or equal to all other policies, $\pi_* \geq \pi, \forall \pi$*
- *All optimal policies achieve the optimal state-value function, $v_{\pi_*}(s) = v_*(s)$*
- *All optimal policies achieve the optimal action-value function, $q_{\pi_*}(s, a) = q_*(s, a)$*

Finding an Optimal Policy

Given the optimal action-value function q_*:

Finding an Optimal Policy

Given the optimal action-value function q_*: the optimimal policy is given by maximizing it.

$$\pi_*(a|s) = [\![a = \operatorname*{\mathbf{argmax}}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_*(s, a)]\!]$$

$[\![\cdot]\!]$ is Iverson bracket: 1 if *true*, otherwise 0.

- There is always a deterministic optimal policy for any MDP
- If we know $q_*(s, a)$, we immediately have the optimal policy (greedy)

Bellman Equation for optimal value functions

Also for the optimal value functions we can use Bellmans optimiality equations:

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}\, q_*(s, a)$$

$$q_*(s, a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_*(s')$$

Bellman Equation for optimal value functions

Also for the optimal value functions we can use Bellmans optimiality equations:

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}\, q_*(s,a)$$

$$q_*(s,a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_*(s')$$

Substituting q in v:

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_*(s') \right)$$

Bellman Equation for optimal value functions

Also for the optimal value functions we can use Bellmans optimiality equations:

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}\, q_*(s,a)$$

$$q_*(s,a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_*(s')$$

Substituting q in v:

$$v_*(s) = \mathbf{max}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_*(s') \right)$$

Substituting v in q:

$$q_*(s,a) = \mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \, \mathbf{max}_{a' \in \mathcal{A}}\, q_*(s',a')$$

Solving the Bellman Optimality Equation

Bellman Optimality Equation is non-linear

- No closed form solution (in general)
- Many iterative solution methods
  - Value Iteration
  - Policy Iteration
  - Q-learning
  - SARSA